International Journal
of
Radiology and Diagnostic Imaging

E-ISSN: 2664-4444
P-ISSN: 2664-4436
www.radiologypaper.com
IJRDI 2020; 3(2): 115-117
Received: 06-05-2020
Accepted: 24-05-2020

Dr. Harikrishna R
Associate Professor, Department of Radiology, SS Institute of Medical Sciences, Karnataka, India

Dr. JG Mathews
Associate Professor, Department of Anatomy, Mamata Medical Collage, Khammam, Telangana, India

Corresponding Author:
Dr. JG Mathews
Associate Professor, Department of Anatomy, Mamata Medical Collage, Khammam, Telangana, India

A CT-based investigation of paranasal air sinuse anatomical varieties: An observational cross-sectional study

Harikrishna R and JG Mathews

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.33545/26644436.2020.v3.i2b.420

Abstract
Background and objective: Computed tomography (CT) scanning is the most common imaging method used to check the paranasal passages right now. This gives a useful anatomical view of the area and the most common changes in anatomy that can be seen. The point of this study was to use CT to look into changes in normal anatomy in the paranasal sinuses. To find out how often these changes happen.
Materials and Methods: This study was an observational cross-sectional study. The study was done at the SS Institute of Medical Sciences in Karnataka, India, in the Department of Radiology. From April 2019 to March 2020, this study took place. After cutting the axial paranasal sinuses into sections, multiline imaging was used to finish the coronal and sagittal models.
Results: The paranasal sinus area can be affected by different types of sores. It is very important to know about normal structural differences and birth defects in this area because they can have terrible effects or make surgery harder. The pneumatized septum and Haller cell are the types that we found to be the least common.
Conclusions: The data showed that nasal septal deviation was the most important anatomical variable. The studies showed a strong connection between one Concha bullosa and septal deviation on the opposite side.

Keywords: Paranasal, air sinuses, computed tomography

Introduction
The paranasal sinuses have been understood in terms of their anatomy since the late 1800s and early 1900s. Imaging and functional endoscopic sinus operations have become a lot more important since recent improvements. A multiplanar high-resolution CT scan of the paranasal sinuses gives the endoscopic sinus surgeon an exact and reliable guide before surgery [1-3]. All doctors should know about the three-dimensional nature of the paranasal sinuses and the different body structures that surgeons may see. This piece talks about how to use CT to take pictures of the paranasal sinuses and looks at how they develop. The CT anatomy of the nasal canal and paranasal sinuses is fully explained, along with the differences in anatomy that can be seen in each area [2-4].
As up to 80% of people with acute upper respiratory tract infections show signs of mucosal disease in the Sino nasal region, preoperative CT imaging of the paranasal sinuses is done once the medicine has worn off. Before the scan, the patient is told to clean their nasal tubes so that they don't produce mucus that could get in the way of the imaging. 15 to 20 minutes before the exam starts, intranasal decongestant drops are given [5-7].
All tests should be done on a 50-channel CT scanner, and the results should then be viewed on a workstation. This makes multiplanar reconstructions easier in both normal orthogonal and nonorthogonal planes. Customized low-dose CT methods are used to take pictures of paediatric patients. When people get a CT scan to check for different nose problems, the anatomical differences of their paranasal sinuses should be looked at [6-8]. Understanding differences in anatomy helps explain why diseases happen again, lowers the risk of complications during FESS, and lets the surgical method be changed. Computed tomography (CT) scanning is the most common imaging method used to check the paranasal passages these days. This gives a useful anatomical view of the area and the most common changes in

anatomy that can be seen. Better CT scans have made [7-9] it possible to get a more complete picture of patients' paranasal sinuses, which helps FESS doctors plan their procedures [8-10]. The point of this study was to use CT to look into changes in normal anatomy in the paranasal sinuses.

Materials and Methods

This constituted a cross-sectional observational study. The study was performed in the Department of Radiology at SS Institute of Medical Sciences, Karnataka, India. This study lasted from April 2019 to March 2020. After the sectioning of the axial paranasal sinuses, coronal and sagittal reconstructions were completed with multislice imaging.

Inclusion Criteria

- Patients with PNS complaints referred from ENT OPD and wards.
- Those ready to engage in study after written agreement.

Exclusion Criteria

- Prior sinonasal surgery.
- Facial trauma.
- Alteration or obscuration of the sinus architecture.

Results

The paranasal sinus area can be affected by different types of sores. It is very important to know about normal structural differences and birth defects in this area because they can have terrible effects or make surgery harder. The structure or enlarged mucosa of the osteomeatal complex may block and hold on to fluids, which could cause infection or the spread of disease. Chronic sinusitis that comes back has been linked to concha bullosa as a possible cause.

Table 1: Distribution by gender

Sr. No.	Gender	Number	%
1	Male	35	70
2	Female	15	30
3	Total	50	100

Table 2: Distribution by anatomical variant number

Sr. No.	Anatomical variation	Number	%
1	Single	20	40
2	Multiple	30	60
3	Total	50	100

Table 3: CT-detected anatomical variation distribution

Sr. No.	Anatomical variation	Number
1.	Deviated nasal sep tum	12
2.	Concha bullosa	10
3.	Prominent bulla ethmoidalis	11
4.	Paradoxical middle turbinate	2
5.	Medialised incinate process	1
6.	Pneumatised uncinated process	5
7.	Agger nasal cell	3
8.	Frontal cell	1
9.	In tum escentia septinasi an terior	2
10.	Onodi cell	1
11.	Haller cell	1
12.	Pneumatisation of septum	1

Discussion

The ineffectiveness of paranasal sinus respiration and the middle meatus mucociliary clearance is the underlying cause of this condition. Depending on the study, the percentage of concha bullosa could be as high as 80%. Out of the nine participants, six had unilateral problems and three had bilateral conditions. It appears that the unvaccinated process may be skewed, since the initial report reported an incidence of 58.10%, however our analysis only identified a 14.3% incidence [10-12]. In particular, it has the potential to alter nasal airway patency in the infundibulum, frontal recess, and anterior ethmoid. A total of fourteen percent of the participants in this study exhibited curved uncinate processes, with four of those participants exhibiting bilateral involvement. Pounds over 2.5 percent [12-14].

A bent or pneumatized uncinate process that frequently contacts the middle turbinate increases the risk of sinusitis. Some structural alterations, such as the uncinate bulla and Haller's cell, may have a multiplicative effect that is greater than the sum of their parts. Two of the three instances had uncinate bulla on only one side, while the third example had it on both [13-15]. A subset of air cells found in the ethmoid canal, known as Haller's cells, can be found entering the maxillary sinus [14-16].

When these cells reach the base of the orbit, they can obstruct one or both of the adjacent ostia; they are referred to as ethmoid cells. This fee is 10% greater than what we charge. These can pass via the lacrimal bone or the maxillary ascending process. Our investigation revealed the presence of these cells in 37 instances [15-17]. The posterior ethmoid cells extend laterally, horizontally and occasionally over the sphenoid sinus, following the optic nerve. Dehiscence of the nerve's bone tube increases the risk of nerve injury during surgery. Most authors have reported an incidence rate of 8.9% to 14.0 percent. Seven instances in our investigation revealed findings that were applicable solely to one category [16-18].

The clinical significance of anatomical variations in the nasal sinus region is currently unclear. People suspected of having inflammatory sinus disease symptoms have constituted the majority of subjects for anatomical CT scans of the sinus region. While just 11% of the healthy control group exhibited any anatomical abnormalities, 62% of his cases did. The findings indicate a correlation between morphological alterations in the sinuses and the onset of inflammatory sinus illness. Despite this, 131 structural alterations were detected in 202 patients' CT scans. On the other hand, those examined for causes other than sinus disease experienced the same rate of these alterations [19-21].

The fact that individuals with and without sinus problems showed structural alterations. They concluded that alterations alone did not indicate a propensity for sinus pathology due to the absence of additional noteworthy indicators. According to this theory, there is no evidence that structural changes in the body, regardless of their size, pose any kind of danger. Of the 37 participants in our study who experienced mucosal issues, 25 exhibited anatomical variations [20-22]. This resulted in 67.6% being the majority. Eighteen of the twenty-six participants in our study who exhibited no mucosal issues also exhibited anatomical alterations. The number of persons impacted by it was 69.2%. Additionally, our work disproves the assumption that anatomical variations inevitably lead to an increased likelihood of sinus pathology [21-23]. If the surgeon notices anything out of the ordinary that could put the patient at risk during surgery, he or she should take note. In order to lessen the likelihood of problems and maximize the efficacy of treatment plans, the radiologist doing the preoperative exam must meticulously search for anatomical differences [23-26].

Conclusion

The research revealed that the most significant anatomical variable was nasal septal deviation. The two most uncommon forms that we identified were the pneumatized septum and the Haller cell. Research demonstrated a robust correlation between the presence of a single *Concha bullosa* and the presence of a contralateral septal deviation.

Conflict of Interest

None.

Funding Support

Nil.

References

1. Adeel M, Rajput MS, Akhter S, Ikram M, Arain A, Khattak YJ. Anatomical variations of nose and para-nasal sinuses; CT scan review. Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association. 2013;63(3):317.
2. Perez-Pinas I, Sabate J, Carmona A, Catalina-Herrera CJ, Jimenez-Castellanos J. Anatomical variations in the human paranasal sinus region studied by CT. The Journal of Anatomy. 2000 Aug;197(2):221-227.
3. Vaid S, Vaid N. Normal anatomy and anatomic variants of the paranasal sinuses on computed tomography. Neuroimaging Clinics. 2015 Nov;25(4):527-548.
4. Miranda CM, Maranhão CP, Arraes FM, Padilha IG, Farias LD, Jatobá MS, *et al*. Anatomical variations of paranasal sinuses at multislice computed tomography: what to look for. Radiologia Brasileira. 2011;44:256-262.
5. Nautiyal A, Narayanan A, Mitra D, Honnegowda TM. Computed tomographic study of remarkable anatomic variations in paranasal sinus region and their clinical importance-A retrospective study. Annals of maxillofacial surgery. 2020 Jul;10(2):422-428.
6. Devareddy MM, Devakar S. Evaluation of anatomical variations in nose and paranasal sinuses by using multidetector computed tomography. Int J Contemp Med Surg. Radiol. 2019;4(3):C146-51.
7. Onwuchekwa RC, Alazigha N. Computed tomography anatomy of the paranasal sinuses and anatomical variants of clinical relevants in Nigerian adults. Egyptian Journal of Ear, Nose, Throat and Allied Sciences. 2017 Mar;18(1):31-38.
8. Reddy A, Kakumanu PK, Kondragunta C, Gandra NR. Role of computed tomography in identifying anatomical variations in chronic sinusitis: An observational study. West African Journal of Radiology. 2018 Jan;25(1):65-71.
9. Yazici D. Evaluation of anatomical variations on paranasal sinus CT. ENT Updates. 2018 Dec;8(3):175-179.
10. Talaiepour AR, Sazgar AA, Bagheri A. Anatomic variations of the paranasal sinuses on CT scan images. Frontiers in Dentistry; c2005. p. 142-146.
11. Yadav RR, Ansari MA, Humagain M, Mishra D. Assessment of anatomical variations of nose and paranasal sinuses in multidetector computed tomography. Journal of Institute of Medicine Nepal. 2017 Apr;39(1):49-54.
12. Karakas S, Kavaklı A. Morphometric examination of the paranasal sinuses and mastoid air cells using computed tomography. Annals of Saudi medicine. 2005 Jan;25(1):41-45.
13. Kaygusuz A, Haksever M, Akduman D, Aslan S, Sayar Z. Sinonasal anatomical variations: their relationship with chronic rhinosinusitis and effect on the severity of disease-a computerized tomography assisted anatomical and clinical study. Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery. 2014 Sep;66:260-266.
14. Kaya MU, Çankal F, Gumusok M, Apaydin Nİ, Tekdemir I. Role of anatomic variations of paranasal sinuses on the prevalence of sinusitis: Computed tomography findings of 350 patients. Nigerian journal of clinical practice. 2017;20(11):1481-1488.
15. Shrestha KK, Acharya K, Joshi RR, Maharjan S, Adhikari D. Anatomical variations of the paranasal sinuses and the nasal cavity. Nepal Medical College Journal. 2019 Mar;21(1):7-11.
16. Phan TT, Hoang NT, Le TB, Nguyen TT. Anatomical Variations in Nasal Cavities and Paranasal Sinuses on Computed Tomography in Chronic Rhinosinusitis: Implications for Diagnosis and Treatment. Indian Journal of Otolaryngology and Head & Neck Surgery; c2024 Oct. p. 1-7.
17. Chaitanya DK, Suseelamma D, Singh V. Anatomical variations of paranasal air sinuses-A CT scan study. Journal of the Anatomical Society of India. 2015 Jun;64(1):87-90.
18. Deosthale NV, Khadakkar SP, Singh B, Harkare VV, Dhoke PR, Dhote KS. Anatomical variations of nose and paranasal sinuses in chronic rhinosinusitis. People's Journal of Scientific Research. 2014 Jul;7(2):1-7.
19. Al-Qudah M. Anatomical variations in sino-nasal region: a computer tomography (CT) study. Jordan Medical Journal. 2010 Oct 3;44(3).
20. Papadopoulou AM, Chrysikos D, Samolis A, Tsakotos G, Troupis T. Anatomical variations of the nasal cavities and paranasal sinuses: a systematic review. Cureus, 2021 Jan, 13(1).
21. Suri N, Janardan T, Parmar H. Correlation of anatomical variations of Paranasal sinuses and Chronic Rhinosinusitis. Int. Arch Integrated Med. 2016 Dec;3(12):84-88.
22. Mohammed OA, Yousefl M, Abukonnal A, Alghamdi SS, Abdelaziz I, Alkhidir NA. Computed tomographic evaluation of anatomical variations of paranasal sinuses region in rhinosinusitis. Merit Res J Med Scie. 2019;7(12):489-97.
23. Qureshi MF, Usmani A, Mehwish A, Rehman F, Ahmed RR. Use of Computed Tomography for Nasal and Paranasal Anatomic Variants. Pakistan Journal of Medicine and Dentistry, 2023, 12(3).
24. Shpilberg KA, Daniel SC, Doshi AH, Lawson W, Som PM. CT of anatomic variants of the paranasal sinuses and nasal cavity: poor correlation with radiologically significant rhinosinusitis but importance in surgical planning. American Journal of Roentgenology. 2015 Jun;204(6):1255-1260.
25. Başak S, Akdilli A, Karaman CZ, Kunt T. Assessment of some important anatomical variations and dangerous areas of the paranasal sinuses by computed tomography in children. International journal of pediatric otorhinolaryngology. 2000 Sep;55(2):81-89.
26. Pavan KB, Kumar M, Ramya M, Manohar KK. The frequency of anatomical variations of paranasal sinuses on computed tomography. European Journal of Molecular and Clinical Medicine. 2021 Jun;8(4):2421-2428.
27.