International Journal of Radiology and Diagnostic Imaging



E-ISSN: 2664-4444 P-ISSN: 2664-4436 www.radiologypaper.com IJRDI 2020; 3(4): 01-06 Received: 02-08-2020 Accepted: 06-09-2020

T Venkat Kishan Associate Professor, KIMS-Narketpally, Telangana, India

GVD Praveen Kumar KIMS-Narketpally, Telangana, India

A non-invasive method of predicting pulmonary hypertension using plain CT chest in correlation with 2d echocardiography

T Venkat Kishan and GVD Praveen Kumar

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.33545/26644436.2020.v3.i4a.127

Abstract

The aim of the study is to evaluate and compare the Plain CT based different vascular measurements in patient with probable pulmonary hypertension and in normal subjects. Images acquired using routine NCCT protocol on 16 slice Toshiba Alexion CT machine and. Reconstructed images were studied in full magnification on standardized media stinal window settings of (W:400/L:20). The widest diameters perpendicular to the axis of main pulmonary artery, right pulmonary artery, left pulmonary artery, ascending aorta and descending aorta are measured using calipers. These respective measurements of 50 normal subjects were compared to the 20 probable pulmonary hypertensive group diagnosed using standard2D Echocardiography criteria.

The measurements of MPA,RPA,LPA,MPA/AAo and MPA/DAo in normal subjects are 2.33(SD-0.31),1.84(SD-026),1.74(SD-0.25),0.82(SD-0.10) and 1.06(SD-0.22). The respective measurements in probable pulmonary hypertension group are 3.58(SD-0.30),2.57(SD-0.41),2.71(SD-0.49),1.11(SD-0.16) and 1.47(SD-0.30), which are comparatively higher and statistically significant (P value <0.01).

In our study, except the MPA/Dao, rest all measurements show positive correlation. The data was statistically analyzed using Graph pad Prism 8.4.2 and different tools used are two tailed t test, histogram analysis, Pearson correlation and sensitivities & specificities using receiver operating curves (ROC). The upper limits proposed in our study in predicting pulmonary hypertension are MPA 2.85 (sensitivity -95% and specificity – 96%), RPA2.22 (sensitivity – 80% and specificity – 92%), LPA 2.22 (sensitivity – 85% and specificity – 96%), MPA/AAO 1.04 (sensitivity – 80% and specificity – 92%) and MPA/DAO (sensitivity – 90% and specificity – 90%).

The various Plain CT based vascular measurements have got higher cut offs and are statistically significant in probable pulmonary hypertensive group when compared to normal subjects. Hence, Plain CT chest along with 2D Echocardiography can be used as a preliminary noninvasive evaluation of pulmonary hypertension before going for invasive right heart catheterization and thus delay in management can be prevented.

Keywords: PH: Pulmonary hypertension, MPA: Main pulmonary artery, MPD: Main pulmonary artery diameter, RPA.

Introduction

Pulmonary hypertension is a representative hemodynamic condition characterized by high arterial pressures of more than 25mm Hg, measured at catheterization of right heart, regardless of underlying disease ^[11]. Dana point classification system is an etiology-based categorization of pulmonary hypertension into groups and subgroups and is widely used by clinicians in regular practice ^[2]. PH being a progressive disease-causing chronic elevation of pulmonary arterial pressures and resistance, marked by continuous vascular proliferation and remodeling ^[3] leading to right ventricle dilatation and hypertrophy. It usually marks poor prognosis ^[3, 4, 5, 6]. Right heart catheterization is the only invasive method available to measure pulmonary pressures, resistance, and cardiac output in one go, hence considered as gold standard method in establishing diagnosis of PH. The systemic evaluation of PH patients include detailed clinical examination and various investigations like Electrocardiography, Blood tests and immunology, pulmonary function tests, Arterial blood gas analysis, Cardiopulmonary exercise testing and Imaging techniques like Ventilation perfusion scans, Echocardiography, CT pulmonary angiography, Cardiac CT and Cardiac MRI ^[7].

Corresponding Author: T Venkat Kishan Associate Professor, KIMS-Narketpally, Telangana, India The nonspecific and overlapping clinical features with other pulmonary and cardiac conditions makes it a clinical challenge ^[8, 9, 10] and so various imaging investigations are sort for. Thus, radiologists are often the first persons to raise a strong suspicion for PH along with the giving clues to the underlying conditions responsible for PH. Our study is mainly focused at studying the various CT based vascular measurements that would suggest the diagnosis of PH before the definitive invasive technique of right heart catheterization.

Materials and Methods

The present prospective study is conducted during 2019-2020, for a period of 6 months in a rural tertiary care hospital in Nalgonda after acquiring proper patient consent and hospital ethical committee clearance. The aim of the study is to evaluate and compare the plain computed tomography based pulmonary artery measurements in patients with probable pulmonary hypertensive diagnosed on 2D Echocardiogram with normal subjects. The objectives are to determine the variations in main pulmonary artery diameters, branched – right and left pulmonary artery diameters and the ratio of the diameters of main pulmonary artery and ascending aorta and descending aorta between the two study groups. The normal study group consists of 50 subjects, selected based on the criteria of lack of known cardiac, thoracic disease associated with elevation of

pulmonary flow/pressure, mediastinal disease, and chest/mediastinal radiotherapy, which can influence the measured variables.

The 20 subjects in probable pulmonary hypertensive group are selected based on the 2D echocardiography using the combination of tricuspid regurgitant velocity, right ventricular size, interventricular septal function, inferior vena cava diameter fluctuations with respiratory cycle, systolic right atrial area, pattern of systolic flow velocity and early diastolic pulmonary regurgitant velocity, and diameter of the pulmonary artery ^[11] (Table – 1 and 2)

Routine Plain CT chest was performed in both group of patients usingroutine NCCT chest protocol on 16 slice Alexion Toshiba CT machine with patient in supine position in suspended deep inspiration with arms extended overhead. The acquired images were reconstructed to 1.2-1.5mm thickness and reviewed on workstation at standardized mediastinal window settings of (W:400/L:20). The region of ascending aorta, descending aorta and pulmonary artery bifurcation was magnified to full screen size. The widest diameter perpendicular to long axis of MPA is measured with computer calipers at the level of pulmonary artery bifurcation. Similarly, the widest diameters perpendicular to long axis of RPA, LPA, Ascending aorta, and Descending aorta are also measured in the near sections of bifurcation of pulmonary artery (Figures 1 to 4)

Table 1: Echocardiographic findings suggesting the diagnosis of probable pulmonary hypertension (PH)

Peak tricuspid regurgitation velocity(m/s)	Presence of other echocardiographic "PHsigns"	Echocardiographic probability of PH	
< 2.8 or not measurable	No	Low	
< 2.8 or not measurable	Yes	Intermediate	
2.9-3.4	No	Intermediate	
2.9-3.4	Yes	Iliah	
>3.4	Not required	High	

Courtesy: Guidelines and recommendations- Echocardiographic assessment of pulmonary hypertension: a guideline protocol from the British society of echocardiography, 2018.

Table 2: Echocardiographic signs suggesting pulmonary hypertension used to assess the probability of PH in addition to tricuspid
regurgitation velocity (m/s)

A: The ventricles	B: Pulmonary artery	C: Inferior vena cava and right atrium		
Right ventricle / Left ventricle basal	Right ventricular outflow doppler acceleration	Inferior cava diameter >21mm with decreased		
diameter ration >1.0	time <105ms and/or mid systolic notching	inspiratory collapse ($<50\%$ with a sniff or $<20\%$		
	time (rooms and/or mid systeme notening	with quiet inspiration)		
Flattening of the interventricular septum	Early diastolic pulmonary regurgitation			
(left ventricular eccentricity index >1.1 in	velocity >2.2m/s	Right atrial area (end systole) >18cm ² .		
systole and/or diastole)	Pulmonary artery diameter >25mm			
Echocardiographic signs from at least two different categories(A/B/C) from the list should be present to alter the level of echocardiographic				
probability of PH.				

Courtesy: Guidelines and recommendations- Echocardiographic assessment of pulmonary hypertension: a guideline protocol from the British society of echocardiography 2018.

Results



Fig 1, 4: Are the representative images demonstrating the points at which appropriate CT based measurements of Main pulmonary artery diameter (MPD), Right pulmonary artery diameter (RPD), Left pulmonary artery diameter (LPD), Ascending aorta and Descending aorta measurements are taken for the two study groups – Normal and Probable PAH.

Table 3: Age distribution in two study groups

Age (inYrs)	Normal subjects(n=50)	Probable PH group (n=20)
10-19	3	2
20-29	6	1
30-39	9	2
40-49	10	3
50-59	2	1
60-69	16	11
70-79	4	0
Total	50	20

Table 4: Gender distribution in two study groups

Gender distribution	Normal subjects(n=50)	Probable PH group (n=20)
Males	35	15
Females	15	5
Total	50	20

Table 5: Frequency distribution of MPD measurements

MPD (in cms)	Normal subjects(n=50)	Probable PH group (n=20)
1-1.49	0	0
1.5-1.99	8	0
2-2.49	23	0
2.5-2.99	17	2
3-3.49	2	2
3.5-3.99	0	16
Total	50	20

Table 6: Frequency distribution of RPD measurements

RPD (in cms)	Normal subjects(n=50)	Probable PH group (n=20)
1-1.49	4	0
1.5-1.99	38	2
2-2.49	6	4
2.5-2.99	2	12
3-3.49	0	2
3.5-3.99	0	0
Total	50	20

Table 7: Frequency distribution of RPD measurements

LPD (in cms)	Normal subjects(n=50)	Probable PH group (n=20)
1-1.49	4	0
1.5-1.99	38	0
2-2.49	6	6
2.5-2.99	2	11
3-3.49	0	1
3.5-3.99	0	2
Total	50	20

Table 8: Frequency distribution of MPD/DAo measurements

MPD/DAo	Normal subjects(n=50)	Probable PH group (n=20)
0.5-0.99	18	0
1.0-1.49	27	16
1.5-1.99	5	2
2.0-2.49	0	2
2.5-3	0	0
Total	50	20

Table 9: Frequency distribution of MPD/AAo measurements

MPD/AAo	Normal subjects(n=50)	Probable PH group (n=20)
0.5-0.99	44	3
1.0-1.49	6	17
1.5-1.99	0	0
2.0-2.49	0	0
2.5-3	0	0
Total	50	20

http://www.radiologypaper.com



Graph 1: Age distribution in two study groups



Graph 2: Gender distribution in two study groups



Graph 3: Frequency distribution of MPD measurements



Graph 4: Frequency distribution of RPD measurements



Graph 5: Frequency distribution of LPD measurements



Graph 6: Frequency distribution of MPD/DAo measurements



Graph 7: Frequency distribution of MPD/AAo measurements



Fig 6: ROC curve - RPD





Fig 9: ROC curve - MPD / DAo

40

100% - Specificity%

60

80

100

40

20

0

0

20

The same measurements are calculated separately for control group with normal subjects and test group, probable PH diagnosed on 2D ECHO and then cutoff values are compared between two groups using different statistical tools.

The statistical analysis of the acquired data was done using GraphPadPrism8.4.2. The significance of the mean values of the different variables between two groups are calculated individually using Two tailed t test, The frequency distribution of the data was calculated using histogram analysis, the correlation between different variables with in the probable PH groups are calculated using Pearson correlation-r and finally the receiver operative curves are used to calculate sensitivities and specificities at different points on the graph.

In our study, the predominant age group was in the range 60-70 years and predominant gender being male in both the study groups (Graph 1,2 and Table 3,4).

The frequency distribution of all the evaluated variables – MPD, RPD, LPD, MPD/AAo and MPD/DAo in both study groups are tabulated and represented as bar diagrams (Graph 3to7 and Table 5to9). The histogram analysis of the data (table10) shows the measurements of

MPA,RPA,LPA,MPA/AAo and MPA/DAo in normal subjects are 2.33 (SD-0.31), 1.84(SD-026),1.74(SD-0.25),0.82(SD-0.10) and 1.06(SD-0.22) and the respective measurements in probable pulmonary hypertension group are 3.58(SD-0.30),2.57(SD-0.41),2.71(SD-0.49),1.11(SD-0.16) and 1.47(SD-0.30), which are comparatively higher and statistically significant (P value <0.01).

The upper limits proposed in our study in predicting pulmonary hypertension are MPA 2.85(sensitivity -95% and specificity -96%), RPA2.22 (sensitivity -80% and specificity -92%), LPA 2.22(sensitivity -85% and specificity -96%), MPA/AAo 1.04 (sensitivity -80% and specificity -92%) and MPA/DAo (sensitivity -90% and specificity -90%) represented in table 11.

The Pearson correlation of different variables (figure 10) in probable PH study group shows strong correlation among MPA, RPA, LPA and MPA/AAo but poor correlation with MPA/DAo.

 Table 10: Comparison of the Mean and SD values of the MPD, RPD, LPD, MPD/AAo and MPD/DAo in normal and probable PH study groups.

S. N.	Variables measured	Normal (in cms)	Probable PH(in cms)
1	Main pulmonary artery diameter	2.33+/-0.31	3.58+/-0.30
2	Right pulmonary artery diameter	1.84+/-0.26	2.57+/-0.41
3	Left pulmonary artery diameter	1.74+/-0.25	2.71+/-0.49
4	MPD/Asc aorta diameter	0.82+/-0.10	1.11+/-0.16
5	MPD/Desc aorta diameter	1.06+/-0.22	1.47+/-0.30
All the mean measurements of MPD, RPD, LPD, MPD/AAo and MPD/DAo are significantly			

greater in probable PAH group when compared to normal subjects (two tailed t test: p < 0.01).

 Table 11: The upper cut off values of the MPD, RPD, LPD, MPD/AAo and MPD/DAo inprobable PH study group along with the sensitivity and specificities calculated.

Variables measured	Upper limit Cut off	sensitivity	specificity
MDD	>2.85	95%	96%
MPD	>3.2		100%
DDD	>2.22	80%	92%
KPD	>2.55		100%
LDD	>2.25	85%	96%
LPD	>2.55		100%
	>1.04	80%	92%
MFD/AA0	>1.09		100%
	>1.22	90%	90%
MFD/DA0	>1.78		100%



Fig 10: Pearson correlation – Different variables in probale PAH group Blue color – strong correlation (>1); Red color – strong non correlation (<1)

Discussion

The factors that influence the pulmonary vessels size are complex and depend on physiological and pathological factors. Pressure in one factor and others include blood flow, vessel compliance ^[12], peripheral resistance, pathology within the vessel, sex, and body constitution ^[9] and physical properties of lung ^[10].

As PH is defined in terms of increased pressure within the pulmonary vessels, which usually causes at least some distension of these involved vessel, this is used as animaging criterion in evaluation of Pulmonary Hypertension. Different variables are studied in detail and respective cut offs were given by different studies.

Our study is mainly aimed at comprehensive evaluation of all the possible variables on non-contrast CT study – Main pulmonary artery, Right pulmonary artery, Left pulmonary artery, Ratio of Main pulmonary artery to ascending aorta and Ratio of Main pulmonary artery to descending aorta.

Pulmonary artery dimension varies with cardiac cycle, respiratory movements, and technical parameters like CT window settings and contrast ^[13] and thus the ratio of MPD and Ascending aorta is used in different studies to overcome these difficulties. In our study, we have calculated the ratio with ascending as well as descending aorta in the same

section to analyze the respective variations.

The role of MPD and its statistical significance in prediction of pulmonary hypertension was not completely supported in various other studies ^[14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. However, there are studies supporting the same.

The kuriyama *et al.* ^[14] study in 1984 showed MPD as a valuable noninvasive method of predicting PH and gave the normal MPD diameter measured in 26 healthy study controls as 2.42+/-0.22cm and age matched MPD measurements in catheterize proven PH patients as above 2.86cm, with a sensitivity and specific of 69% and 100% respectively. Moore *et al.* ^[12] study in 1988 demonstrated the same with upper limit of MPD at 3.6+/-0.6cm.

In 1996, Schimdt *et al.* ^[19] study gave the upper limits of MPD at 2.8cm, RPD at 1.6cm and LPD at 1.6cm for the diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension and in 1997, the Haimovici *et al.* ^[20] study proposed the upper limits of MPD at 3.3 + -1.1cm and LPD at 2.5 + -0.8cm.

Near Corson *et al.* ^[21] conducted a similar study in 2014 in relatively large study group of 175 PH patients and gave upper cutoff value for MPD as 2.9cm with 89% and 83% sensitivity and specificity respectively and ratio of MPD and Aorta diameter > 1 with 89% and 82% sensitivity and specificity respectively.

Finally in our study, we have taken a comprehensive measurements of MPD,RPD,LPD,MPD/AAo and MPD/DAo in correlation with 2D Echocardiogram and proposed the upper cutoffs as 2.85cm for MPD with 95% sensitivity and 96% specificity, 2.22cm for RPD with 80% sensitivity and 92% specificity, 2.25cm for LPD with 85% sensitivity and 96% specificity, 1.04 for MPD/AAo with 80% sensitivity and 92% specificity and 1.22 for MPD/DAo with 90% sensitivity and 90% specificity.

Conclusion

The various Plain CT based vascular measurements have got higher cut offs and are statistically significant in probable pulmonary hypertensive group diagnosed on 2D echocardiogram when compared to normal subjects. Hence, Plain CT chest along with 2D Echocardiography can be used as a preliminary noninvasive evaluation of pulmonary hypertension before going for invasive right heart catheterization and thus delay in management can be prevented.

However, the upper limits proposed in the study may slightly vary with the already existing values in the literature. The reasons could be selection of subjects, racial group, small study group and importantly plain CT study.

References

- Rubin LJ. Primary pulmonary hypertension. N Engl J Med 1997;336(2):111-117.
- 2. Peña E, Dennie C, Veinot J, Muñiz SH. Pulmonary hypertension: how the radiologist can help. Radiographics 2012;32(1):9-32.
- 3. Runo JR, Loyd JE. Primary pulmonary hypertension. Lancet 2003;361(9368):1533-1544.
- Matthay RA, Schwarz MI, Ellis Jr JH, Steele PP, Siebert PE. Pulmonary artery hypertension in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: determination by chest radiography. Investigative Radiology 1981;16(2):95-100.
- 5. Marchandise B, De Bruyne B, Delaunois L, Kremer R. Noninvasive prediction of pulmonary hypertension in

chronic obstructive pulmonary disease by Doppler echocardiography. Chest 1987;91(3):361-5.

- Frost A, Badesch D, Gibbs JS, Gopalan D, Khanna D, Manes A *et al.* Diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension. European Respiratory Journal 2019,53(1).
- 7. Will J, editor. The pulmonary circulation in health and disease. Elsevier 2012.
- 8. Chang CH. The normal roentgenographic measurement of the right descending pulmonary artery in 1,085 cases. The American journal of roentgenology, radium therapy, and nuclear medicine 1962;87:929.
- Zellers T, Gutgesell HP. Noninvasive estimation of pulmonary artery pressure. The Journal of pediatrics 1989;114(5):735-41.
- 10. Augustine DX, Coates-Bradshaw LD, Willis J, Harkness A, Ring L, Grapsa J *et al.* Echocardiographic assessment of pulmonary hypertension: a guideline protocol from the British Society of Echocardiography. Echo research and practice 2018;5(3):G11-24.
- 11. Moore NR, Scott JP, Flower CD, Higenbottam TW. The relationship between pulmonary artery pressure and pulmonary artery diameter in pulmonary hypertension. Clinical radiology 1988;39(5):486-9.
- 12. Higgins CB, Gerber KH, Mattrey RF, Slutsky RA. Evaluation of the hemodynamic effects of intravenous administration of ionic and nonionic contrast materials. Implications for deriving physiologic measurements from computed tomography and digital cardiovascular imaging. Radiology 1982;142(3):681-6.
- Kuriyama KE, Gamsu GO, Stern RG, Cann CE, Herfkens RJ, Brundage BH. CT-determined pulmonary artery diameters in predicting pulmonary hypertension. Investigative radiology 1984;19(1):16-22.
- Bouchard A, Higgins CB, Byrd BF, Amparo EG, Osaki L, Axelrod R. Magnetic resonance imaging in pulmonary arterial hypertension. The American journal of cardiology 1985;56(15):938-42.
- Frank H, Globits S, Glogar D, Neuhold A, Kneussl M, Mlczoch J. Detection and quantification of pulmonary artery hypertension with MR imaging: results in 23 patients. AJR. American journal of roentgenology 1993;161(1):27-31.
- 16. Murray TI, Boxt LM, Katz J, Reagan K, Barst RJ. Estimation of pulmonary artery pressure in patients with primary pulmonary hypertension by quantitative analysis of magnetic resonance images. Journal of thoracic imaging 1994;9(3):198-204.
- 17. O'Callaghan JP, Heitzman ER, Somogyi JW, Spirt BA. CT evaluation of pulmonary artery size. Journal of computer assisted tomography 1982;6(1):101-4.
- Schmidt HC, Kauczor HU, Schild HH, Renner C, Kirchhoff E, Lang P *et al.* Pulmonary hypertension in patients with chronic pulmonary thromboembolism: chest radiograph and CT evaluation before and after surgery. European radiology 1996;6(6):817-25.
- 19. Haimovici JB, Trotman-Dickenson B, Halpern EF, Dec GW, Ginns LC, Shepard JA. Relationship between pulmonary artery diameter at computed tomography and pulmonary artery pressures at right-sided heart catheterization. Academic radiology 1997;4(5):327-34.
- Corson N, Armato III SG, Labby ZE, Straus C, Starkey A, Gomberg-Maitland M. CT-based pulmonary artery measurements for the assessment of pulmonary hypertension. Academic radiology 2014;21(4):523-30.